

MONOID ACTIONS AND ULTRAFILTER METHODS IN RAMSEY THEORY

SŁAWOMIR SOLECKI

ABSTRACT. First, we prove a theorem on dynamics of actions of monoids by endomorphisms of semigroups. Second, we introduce algebraic structures suitable for formalizing infinitary Ramsey statements and prove a theorem that such statements are implied by the existence of appropriate homomorphisms between the algebraic structures. We make a connection between the two themes above, which allows us to prove some general Ramsey theorems for sequences. We give a new proof of the Furstenberg–Katznelson Ramsey theorem; in fact, we obtain a version of this theorem that is stronger than the original one. We answer in the negative a question of Lupini on possible extensions of Gowers’ Ramsey theorem.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Monoid actions on semigroups	4
2.1. Monoid actions on partial orders	5
2.2. Compact right topological semigroups	6
2.3. Almost R-trivial monoids	6
2.4. The theorem on monoid actions	7
2.5. Semigroups from partial orders and a restatement of the theorem	11
3. Infinitary Ramsey theorems	11
3.1. Λ -partial semigroups and Λ -semigroups	11
3.2. Basic sequences and tame colorings	12
3.3. Λ -semigroups from Λ -partial semigroups	13
3.4. The Ramsey theorem	13
3.5. Tensor product of Λ -partial semigroups	15
3.6. Propagation of homomorphisms	16
4. Monoid actions and infinitary Ramsey theorems	18
4.1. Connecting Theorem 2.4 with Theorem 3.1	19
4.2. Ramsey theorems from monoids	20
4.3. Some concrete applications	22
4.4. The monoids I_n	23
References	27

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05D10, 05C55.

Key words and phrases. Monoid, Ramsey theory.

Research supported by NSF grant DMS-1266189.

1. INTRODUCTION

The main point of the paper is, perhaps, establishing a relationship between monoid actions and Ramsey theory.

In Section 2, we study the dynamics of actions of monoids by continuous endomorphisms on compact right topological semigroups. We outline now some notions that are relevant to this study. We associate with each monoid M a partial order $\mathbb{Y}(M)$ on which M acts in an order preserving manner. We define first the order $\mathbb{X}(M)$ consisting of all principle right ideals in M , that is, sets of the form aM for $a \in M$, with the order relation $\leq_{\mathbb{X}(M)}$ being inclusion. This order is considered in the representation theory of monoids as in [12]. The monoid M acts on $\mathbb{X}(M)$ by left translations. We then let $\mathbb{Y}(M)$ consist of all non-empty linearly ordered by $\leq_{\mathbb{X}(M)}$ subsets of $\mathbb{X}(M)$. We order $\mathbb{Y}(M)$ by end-extension, that is, we let $x \leq_{\mathbb{Y}(M)} y$ if x is included in y and all elements of $y \setminus x$ are larger with respect to $\leq_{\mathbb{X}(M)}$ than all elements of x . The construction of the partial order $\mathbb{Y}(M)$ from the partial order $\mathbb{X}(M)$ is a special case of a set theoretic construction going back to Kurepa [7]. An order preserving action of the monoid M on $\mathbb{Y}(M)$ is induced in the natural way from its action on $\mathbb{X}(M)$.

We introduce a class of monoids we call almost **R-trivial**, which contains the well known class of **R-trivial** monoids, see [12], and all the monoids of interest to us. In a monoid M , by the **R-class** of $a \in M$ we understand the equivalence class of a with respect to the equivalence relation that makes two elements equivalent if the principle right ideals generated by the two elements coincide, that is, b_1 and b_2 are equivalent if $b_1M = b_2M$. We call a monoid M **almost R-trivial** if for each element b whose R -class has strictly more than one element we have $ab = b$ for each $a \in M$. In Section 2.3, we provide the relevant examples of almost **R-trivial** monoids.

In Theorem 2.4, which is the main theorem of Section 2, we show that each action of a finite almost **R-trivial** monoid by continuous endomorphisms on a compact right topological semigroup contains, in a precise sense, the action of M on $\mathbb{Y}(M)$. This result has been inspired by Ramsey theoretic considerations, but it may also be of some independent interest.

In Section 3, we introduce new algebraic structures that are appropriate for formalizing various Ramsey statements concerning sequences. We isolate the notions of **basic sequence** and **tame coloring**. In Theorem 3.1, the main theorem of this section, we show that finding a basic sequence on which a given coloring is tame follows from the existence of an appropriate homomorphism. This theorem reduces proving a Ramsey statement to establishing an algebraic property. We introduce a natural notion of tensor product of the algebraic structures studied in this section, which makes it possible to strengthen the conclusion of Theorem 3.1.

In Section 4, we connect the previous two sections with each other and explore Ramsey theoretic issues. In Corollary 4.1, we show that the main result of Section 2 yields a homomorphism required for the main result of Section 3, which has various Ramsey theoretic consequences. For example, we introduce a notion of **Ramsey monoid** and prove that, among finite almost **R-trivial** monoids M , being Ramsey

is equivalent to linearity of the order $\mathbb{X}(M)$. We use this result to show that an extension of Gowers' Ramsey theorem [4] inquired for by Lupini [8] is false. As other consequences, we obtain some concrete Ramsey results by associating with them finite R -trivial monoids. For example, we show the Furstenberg–Katznelson Ramsey theorem for located words, which is stronger than the original version of the theorem from [3]. Our proof is also different from the one in [3].

We state now one, quite general, Ramsey theoretic result from Section 4, which has Furstenberg–Katznelson's and Gowers' theorems, [3], [4], as special instances; see Section 4.3. Let M be a monoid. By a **located word over M** we understand a function from a finite non-empty subset of \mathbb{N} to M . For two such words w_1 and w_2 , we write $w_1 \prec w_2$ if the largest element of the domain of w_1 is smaller than the smallest element of the domain of w_2 . In such a case, we write $w_1 w_2$ for the located word that is the function whose graph is the union of the graphs of w_1 and w_2 . For a located word w and $a \in M$, we write $a(w)$ for the located word that results from multiplying on the left each value of w by a . Given a finite coloring of all located words, we are interested in producing a sequence $w_0 \prec w_1 \prec \dots$ of located words, for which we control the color of

$$a_0(w_{n_0}) \cdots a_k(w_{n_k}),$$

for arbitrary $a_0, \dots, a_k \in M$ and $n_0 < \dots < n_k$. The control over the color is exerted using the partial order $\mathbb{Y}(M)$. With each partial order P , one naturally associates a semigroup $\langle P \rangle$, with its binary operation denoted by \vee , that is the semigroup generated freely by the elements of P subject to the relations

$$(1.1) \quad p \vee q = q \vee p = q \text{ if } p \leq_P q.$$

We consider the semigroup $\langle \mathbb{Y}(M) \rangle$ produced from the partial order $\mathbb{Y}(M)$ in this manner. We now have the following statement, which is proved as Theorem 4.3

Let M be almost R -trivial and finite. Fix a finite subset F of the semigroup $\langle \mathbb{Y}(M) \rangle$ and a maximal element \mathbf{y} of the partial order $\mathbb{Y}(M)$. For each coloring with finitely many colors of all located words over M , there exists a sequence

$$w_0 \prec w_1 \prec w_2 \prec \dots$$

of located words such that the color of

$$a_0(w_{n_0}) \cdots a_k(w_{n_k}),$$

for $a_0, \dots, a_k \in M$ and $n_0 < \dots < n_k$, depends only on the element

$$a_0(\mathbf{y}) \vee \dots \vee a_k(\mathbf{y})$$

of $\langle \mathbb{Y}(M) \rangle$ provided that $a_0(\mathbf{y}) \vee \dots \vee a_k(\mathbf{y}) \in F$.

We point out that, in general, the element $a_0(\mathbf{y}) \vee \dots \vee a_k(\mathbf{y})$ in the above statement contains much less information than the located word $a_0(w_{n_0}) \cdots a_k(w_{n_k})$, due partly to the disappearance of w_{n_0}, \dots, w_{n_k} and partly to the influence of the relations (1.1).

We comment now on our view of the place of the present work within Ramsey theory. A large portion of Ramsey Theory can be parametrized by a triple (a, b, c) ,

where a, b, c are natural numbers or ∞ and $a \leq b \leq c$. (We exclude here, for example, a very important part of Ramsey theory called structural Ramsey theory, for which a general approach is advanced in [6].) The simplest Ramsey theorems are those associated with $a \leq b < \infty = c$. (For example, for each finite coloring of all a -element subsets of an infinite set C , there exists a b -element subset of C such that all of its a -element subsets get the same color.) These simplest theorems are strengthened in two directions.

Direction 1: $a \leq b \leq c < \infty$. This is the domain of Finite Ramsey Theory. (For example, for each finite coloring of all a -element subsets of a c -element set C , there exists a b -element subset of C such that all of its a -element subsets get the same color.) Appropriate structures for this part of the theory are described in [11].

Direction 2: $a = b = c = \infty$. This is the domain of Infinite Dimensional Ramsey Theory. (For example, for each finite Borel coloring of all infinite element subsets of an infinite countable set C , there exists an infinite subset of C such that all of its infinite subsets get the same color.) Appropriate structures for this theory were developed in [14] and a General Ramsey Theorem for them was proved there.

The frameworks in 1 and 2 are quite different in particulars, but, roughly speaking, the General Ramsey Theorems (GRT) in both cases have the same form:

GRT: Pigeonhole Principle implies Ramsey Statement.

Such GRT, reduces proving concrete Ramsey statements to proving appropriate pigeonhole principles. In 1, pigeonhole principles are either easy to check directly or, more frequently, they are reformulations of Ramsey statements proved earlier using GRT with the aid of easier pigeonhole principles. So it is a self-propelling system. In 2, pigeonhole principles cannot be obtained this way and they require separate proofs. (The vague reason for this is that the pigeonhole principles here correspond to the case $b = c = \infty$ and $a = \text{potential } \infty$.)

This paper can be viewed as providing appropriate structures and general theorems that handle proofs of pigeonhole principles in 2. These structures are quite different from those in 1 and 2.

The concurrently written interesting paper [9] also touches on the theme of ultrafilter methods in Ramsey theory. This work and ours are independent from each other.

2. MONOID ACTIONS ON SEMIGROUPS

The theme of this section is, on the face of it, purely dynamical. We study actions of finite monoids on compact right topological semigroups by continuous endomorphisms. We isolate the class of almost R-trivial monoids that extends the well studied class of R-trivial monoids. We prove in Theorem 2.4 that each action of an almost R-trivial finite monoid M on a compact right topological semigroup by continuous endomorphisms contains, in a precise sense, a finite action defined only in terms of M . This finite action is an action of M on a partial order $\mathbb{Y}(M)$ introduced in Section 2.1. An important to us reformulation of Theorem 2.4 is done in Corollary 2.7.

2.1. Monoid actions on partial orders. A **monoid** is a semigroup with a distinguished element that is a left and right identity. By convention, if a monoid acts on a set, the identity element acts as the identity function.

Let M be a monoid. By an **M -partial order** we understand a set X equipped with an action of M and with a partial order \leq_X such that if $x \leq_X y$, then $ax \leq_X ay$, for $x, y \in X$ and $a \in M$. Let X and Y be M -partial order. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an **epimorphism** if f is onto, f is M -equivariant, and \leq_Y is the image under f of \leq_X . We say that an M -partial order X is **strong** if, for all $y \in X$ and $a \in M$,

$$\{ax \in X: x \leq_X y\} = \{x \in X: x \leq_X ay\}.$$

For a monoid M , consider M acting on itself by multiplication on the left. Set

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbb{X}(M) = \{aM: a \in M\}$$

with the order relation being inclusion. Then, $\mathbb{X}(M)$ is an M -partial order. We actually have more.

Lemma 2.1. *Let M be a monoid. Then $\mathbb{X}(M)$ is a strong M -partial order.*

Proof. We need to see that if $cM \subseteq abM$, then there is c' such that $c'M \subseteq bM$ and $ac'M = cM$. Since $cM \subseteq abM$, we have $c \in abM$, so $c = abd$ for some $d \in M$. Let $c' = bd$. It is easy to check that this c' works. \square

For each finite partial order X , let

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Fr}(X) = \{x \subseteq X: x \neq \emptyset \text{ and } x \text{ is linearly ordered by } \leq_X\}.$$

The order relation on $\text{Fr}(X)$ is defined by letting for $x, y \in \text{Fr}(X)$,

$$x \leq_{\text{Fr}(X)} y \iff x \subseteq y \text{ and } i <_X j \text{ for all } i \in x \text{ and } j \in y \setminus x.$$

Observe that $\text{Fr}(X)$ is a **forest**, that is, the set of predecessors of each element is linearly ordered. As pointed out by Todorćević, the operation Fr is a finite version of certain constructions from infinite combinatorics of partial orders [7], [13].

Let X be an M -partial order. For $x \in \text{Fr}(X)$ and $a \in M$, let

$$ax = \{ai: i \in x\}.$$

Clearly, $ax \in \text{Fr}(X)$ and $M \times \text{Fr}(X) \ni (a, x) \rightarrow ax \in \text{Fr}(X)$ is an action of M on $\text{Fr}(X)$.

The following lemma is easy to verify.

Lemma 2.2. *Let M be a monoid, and let X be an M -partial order.*

- (i) *$\text{Fr}(X)$ with the action defined above is a strong M -partial order.*
- (ii) *The function $\pi: \text{Fr}(X) \rightarrow X$ given by $\pi(x) = \max x$ is an epimorphism between the two M -partial orders.*

For a finite monoid M , set

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbb{Y}(M) = \text{Fr}(\mathbb{X}(M)).$$

By Lemma 2.2, $\mathbb{Y}(M)$ is a strong M -partial order.

2.2. Compact right topological semigroups. Let U be a semigroup.

As usual, let

$$E(U)$$

be the set of all idempotents of U . There is a natural transitive, anti-symmetric relation \leq^U on U defined by

$$u \leq^U v \iff uv = vu = u.$$

This relation is reflexive on the set $E(U)$. So \leq^U is a partial order on $E(U)$.

A semigroup equipped with a topology is called **right topological** if, for each $u \in U$, the function

$$U \ni x \rightarrow xu \in U$$

is continuous.

In the proposition below, we collect facts about idempotents in compact semigroups needed here. They are proved in [14, Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.3 and Corollary 2.4, Lemma 2.11].

Proposition 2.3. *Let U be a compact right topological semigroup.*

- (i) $E(U)$ is non-empty.
- (ii) For each $v \in E(U)$ there exists a minimal with respect to \leq^U element $u \in E(U)$ with $u \leq^U v$.
- (iii) For each minimal with respect to \leq^U element $u \in E(U)$ and each right ideal $I \subseteq X$, there exists $v \in I \cap E(U)$ with $uv = u$.

If U is equipped with a compact topology, that may not interact with multiplication in any way, then there exists the smallest under inclusion compact two-sided ideal of U , see [5]. So, for a compact right topological semigroup U , let

$$I(U)$$

stand for the smallest compact two-sided ideal with respect to the compact topology on U .

2.3. Almost R-trivial monoids. Two elements a, b of a monoid M are called **R-equivalent** if $aM = bM$. Of course, by an **R-class** of $a \in M$ we understand the set of all elements of M that are R-equivalent to a . A monoid M is called **R-trivial** if each R-class has exactly one element, that is, if for all $a, b \in M$, $aM = bM$ implies $a = b$. This notion with an equivalent definition was introduced in [10]. For the role of R-trivial monoids in the representation theory of monoids see [12, Chapter 2].

Note that if M is R-trivial, then the partial order $\mathbb{X}(M)$ can be identified with M taken with the partial order $a \leq_M b$ if and only if $a \in bM$. We call a monoid M **almost R-trivial** if, for each $b \in M$ whose R-class has more than one element, we have $ab = b$ for all $a \in M$.

We present now examples of almost R-trivial monoids relevant in Ramsey theory.

Examples. 1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > 0$. Let

$$G_n$$

be $\{0, \dots, n-1\}$ with multiplication defined by

$$i \cdot j = \min(i + j, n-1).$$

We set $1_{G_n} = 0$.

The monoid G_n is R-trivial since, for each $i \in G_n$, we have $iG_n = \{i, \dots, n-1\}$.

The monoid G_n is associated with Gowers' Ramsey theorem [4], see also [14].

2. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > 0$. Let

$$I_n$$

be the set of all non-decreasing functions that map n onto some $k \leq n$. These are precisely the non-decreasing functions $f: n \rightarrow n$ such that $f(0) = 0$ and $f(i+1) \leq f(i) + 1$ for all $i < n-1$. The multiplication operation is composition and 1 is the identity function from n to n .

The monoid I_n is R-trivial. To see this, let $f, g \in I_n$ be such that $f \in gI_n$ and $g \in fI_n$, that is, $f = g \circ h_1$ and $g = f \circ h_2$, for some $h_1, h_2 \in I_n$. It follows from these equations that $f(i) \leq g(i)$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $g(i) \leq f(i)$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus, $f = g$.

The monoid I_n is associated with Lupini's Ramsey theorem [8].

3. Fix two disjoint sets A, B , and let 1 not be an element of $A \cup B$. Let

$$J(A, B)$$

be $\{1\} \cup A \cup B$. Define multiplication on $J(A, B)$ by letting, for each $c \in A \cup B$,

$$\begin{aligned} c \cdot a &= c, \text{ if } a \in A; \\ c \cdot b &= b, \text{ if } b \in B. \end{aligned}$$

Of course, we define $1 \cdot c = c \cdot 1 = c$ for all $c \in J(A, B)$. We leave it to the reader to check that so defined multiplication is associative.

The monoid $J(A, B)$ is almost R-trivial. Indeed, a quick check gives, for $a \in A$ and $b \in B$,

$$aJ(A, B) = \{a\} \cup B, \quad bJ(A, B) = B, \quad 1J(A, B) = J(A, B).$$

Thus, the only elements of $J(A, B)$, whose R-classes can possibly have size bigger than one, are elements of B . But for all $c \in J(A, B)$ and $b \in B$, we have $cb = b$. It follows that $J(A, B)$ is almost R-trivial (and not R-trivial if the cardinality of B is strictly bigger than one).

The monoid $J(\emptyset, B)$ for a one element set B is associated with Hindman's theorem, see [14], and for arbitrary finite B with the infinitary Hales–Jewett theorem, see [14]. For arbitrary finite A and B , $J(A, B)$ is associated with the Furstenberg–Katznelson theorem [3].

2.4. The theorem on monoid actions. *In the results of this section, we obey the following conventions:*

- U is a compact right topological semigroup;
- M is a finite monoid acting on U by continuous endomorphisms.

The following theorem is the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.4. *Assume M is almost R -trivial. Then there exists a function $g: \mathbb{Y}(M) \rightarrow E(U)$ such that*

- (i) *g is M -equivariant;*
- (ii) *g is order reversing with respect to $\leq_{\mathbb{Y}(M)}$ and \leq^U ;*
- (iii) *g maps maximal elements of $\mathbb{Y}(M)$ to $I(U)$.*

Moreover, if $\mathbb{X}(M)$ has at most two elements, then g maps maximal elements of $\mathbb{Y}(M)$ to minimal elements of U .

We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *Let F be a strong M -partial order that is a forest. Assume that $f: F \rightarrow U$ is M -equivariant. Then there exists $g: F \rightarrow E(U)$ such that*

- (i) *g is M -equivariant;*
- (ii) *g is order reversing with respect to \leq_F and \leq^U ;*
- (iii) *$g^{-1}(I(U))$ contains $f^{-1}(I(U))$.*

Proof. Let $A \subseteq F$ be downward closed. Assume there exists $g_A: F \rightarrow E(U)$ such that (i) and (iii) hold and additionally, for all $i, j \in A$,

$$(\star) \text{ if } i <_F j, \text{ then } g_A(j)g_A(i) = g_A(j).$$

Note the condition that the values of g_A are in $E(U)$, so they are idempotents. Let $B \subseteq F$ be such that $A \subseteq B$ and all the immediate predecessors of elements of B are in A . We claim that there exists $g_B: F \rightarrow E(U)$ fulfilling (i), (iii), (\star) for all $i, j \in B$, and $g_B \upharpoonright A = g_A \upharpoonright A$.

First, define $g'_B: F \rightarrow U$ by letting, for $j \in F$,

$$g'_B(j) = g_A(i_k)g_A(i_{k-1}) \cdots g_A(i_1),$$

where $i_1 <_F \cdots <_F i_k$ lists the set $\{i \in F: i \leq_F j\}$ in the increasing order.

We check that g'_B fulfills (i), (iii), and (\star) for $i, j \in B$. Point (i) holds since for each $a \in M$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(g'_B(j)) &= a(g_A(i_k))a(g_A(i_{k-1})) \cdots a(g_A(i_1)) \\ &= g_A(a(i_k))g_A(a(i_{k-1})) \cdots g_A(a(i_1)) = g'_B(a(j)), \end{aligned}$$

with the second equality holding since the function g_A is M -equivariant and the third one holding by idempotence of the values of g_A and the fact that F is an M -tree. Point (iii) holds since $I(U)$ is a right ideal and the function g_A fulfills (iii). To check (\star) for $i, j \in B$ with $i <_F j$, let

$$i_1 <_F \cdots <_F i_k <_F \cdots <_F i_l$$

list all the predecessors of j in the increasing order so that $i_k = i$ and, of course, $i_l = j$. Then, since $j \in B$, we have $i_1, \dots, i_k \in A$ and, therefore, we get

$$g'_B(j) = g_A(i_l) \cdots g_A(i_k) = g_A(j) \cdots g_A(i).$$

By the same computation carried out for $i = j \in A$, we see

$$(2.4) \quad g'_B(i) = g_A(i), \text{ for } i \in A.$$

It follows that

$$g'_B(j)g'_B(i) = g_A(j) \cdots g_A(i)g_A(i) = g_A(j) \cdots g_A(i) = g'_B(j).$$

This equal; its shows that (\star) holds for $i, j \in B$. Finally, note that (2.4) implies that $g'_B \upharpoonright A = g_A \upharpoonright A$. Thus, g'_B has all the desired properties.

To construct g_B from g'_B , consider U^F with coordinstewise multiplication and the product topology. This is a right topological semigroup. Define $H \subseteq U^F$ to consist of all $x \in U^F$ such that

- (α) the function $F \ni i \rightarrow x_i \in U$ fulfills (i), (iii), and (\star) for $i, j \in B$ and
- (β) $x_i = g_A(i)$ for all $i \in A$.

First we observe that H is a subsemigroup of U^F . Condition (i) is clearly closed under multiplication. Condition (iii) is closed under multiplication since $I(U)$ is a two-sided ideal. Condition (\star) is closed under multiplication in the presence of (β) since, for $x, y \in H$ and $i, j \in B$ with $i <_F j$, we have $i \in A$ and, therefore,

$$x_j y_j x_i y_i = x_j y_j g_A(i) g_A(i) = x_j y_j y_i y_i = x_j y_j.$$

This verification shows that (α) is close under multiplication in the presence of (β). Condition (β) is closed under multiplication since $g_A(i)$ is an idempotent.

Next note that H is compact since all conditions defining H are clearly topologically closed with a possible exception of (\star) for $i, j \in B$ with $i <_F j$. Note that in this case $i \in A$. Since $x \in U^F$ and $i \in A$, we have $x_i = g_A(i)$, condition (\star) translates to $x_j g_A(i) = x_j$ for $i \in A$ and $j \in B$ with $i <_F j$. This condition is closed since U is right topological. Finally note that H is non-empty since g'_B is its element. By Ellis' theorem H contains an idempotent. Let $g_B \in H$ be such an idempotent. It has all the required properties.

The above procedure describes the passage from A to B if all immediate predecessors of elements of B are in A . We now define g_\emptyset . Note first that f fulfills (i), (iii), and (\star) for $A = \emptyset$, with the last condition holding vacuously.

We apply the above claim recursively starting with $A = \emptyset$. After performing this procedure, we end up with a function $g_F: F \rightarrow U$ such that (i), (iii) hold as does (\star) for all $i, j \in F$. So f has all the required properties except its values may not be in $E(U)$. To remedy this shortcoming, consider again the compact right topological semigroup U^F with coordinstewise multiplication and the product topology. Define $H \subseteq U^F$ to consist of all $x \in U^F$ such that the function $F \ni i \rightarrow x_i \in U$ fulfills (i) and (iii) (and, vacuously, (\star) for $i, j \in \emptyset$). Then H is non-empty since $f \in H$. Let g_\emptyset be an idempotent on H . Then g_\emptyset is as required.

Starting with g_\emptyset and recursively using the above procedure of going from g_A to g_B , we produce $g_F: F \rightarrow E(U)$ fulfilling (i), (iii) and (\star) for all $i, j \in F$. Note that since the values of g_F are idempotents, condition (\star) implies (ii), which finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Assume that $ab = b$, for all $a, b \in M$ with $b \neq 1_M$. Then there exists minimal $u_1 \in E(U)$ such that*

- (i) $u_1 \in I(U)$
- (ii) $a(u_1) = b(u_1)$, for all $a, b \in M$ with $a \neq 1_M \neq b$.

Proof. Observe that, for $a, b \in M \setminus \{1_M\}$, since $ba = a$, we have

$$a(U) = ba(U) = b(a(U)) \subseteq b(U).$$

By symmetry, we see that $a(U) = b(U)$. Let T be the common value of the images of U under the elements of $M \setminus \{1_M\}$. Clearly T is a compact subsemigroup of U . Note that

$$(2.5) \quad a(u) = u, \text{ for } u \in T, a \in M.$$

Let

$$u_0 \in T$$

be a minimal with respect to \leq^T idempotent.

Let $u_1 \in U$ be a minimal idempotent in U with $u_1 \leq^U u_0$. Since u_1 is minimal, we have

$$(2.6) \quad u_1 \in I(U).$$

We show that

$$(2.7) \quad a(u_1) = u_0, \text{ for all } a \in M \setminus \{1_M\}.$$

Indeed, since $u_1 \leq^U u_0$ and $u_0 \in T$, by (2.5), we get

$$a(u_1) \leq^U a(u_0) = u_0.$$

Thus, $a(u_1) \leq^T u_0$ and $a(u_1) \in T$. Since u_0 is minimal in T , we get $a(u_1) = u_0$.

Equations (2.6) and (2.7) show that u_1 is as required. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Let

$$B = \{b \in M : ab = b \text{ for all } a \in M\}.$$

Note that $M' = \{1_M\} \cup B$ is a monoid fulfilling the assumption of Lemma 2.6. Let $u_1 \in U$ be an element as in the conclusion of Lemma 2.6.

Define a function $h : M \rightarrow U$ by $h(a) = a(u_1)$. Note that h is M -equivariant if M is taken with left multiplication action. Observe the following two implications:

- (i) if $a_1, a_2 \in M \setminus B$ and $a_1 M = a_2 M$, then $a_1 = a_2$;
- (ii) if $a \in M$ and $b \in B$, then $bM \subseteq aM$.

Point (i) follows from M being almost R-trivial. Point (ii) is a consequence of $b = ab \in aM$. Let $\rho : M \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(M)$ be the equivariant surjection $\rho(a) = aM$. Note that by (i) and (ii), ρ is injective on $M \setminus B$, and all points in B are mapped to a single point of $\mathbb{X}(M)$ that is the smallest point of this partial order. This point is then fixed by the action of M on $\mathbb{X}(M)$. It now follows from properties of u_1 listed in Lemma 2.6 that h factors through ρ giving an M -equivariant function $h' : \mathbb{X}(M) \rightarrow U$ with $h' \circ \rho = h$. Let $\pi : \mathbb{Y}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(M)$ be the M -equivariant function given by Lemma 2.2(ii). Then $f : \mathbb{Y}(M) \rightarrow U$, defined by $f = h' \circ \pi$, is M -equivariant. Furthermore, since $u_1 \in I(U)$ gives $h'(1_M) \in I(U)$, we see that the maximal elements of $\mathbb{Y}(M)$ are mapped by f to $I(U)$. Note that if $\mathbb{X}(M)$ has at most two elements, then we can let $g = f$. Then $h'([1_m])$, where $[1_m]$ is the R-class of 1_M , is a minimal with respect to \leq^U idempotent, and g maps all maximal elements of $\mathbb{Y}(M)$ to $h'([1_m])$. Without any restrictions on the size of

$\mathbb{X}(M)$, Lemma 2.5 can be applied to f giving a function g as required by points (i)–(iii). \square

2.5. Semigroups from partial orders and a restatement of the theorem.

For a partial order P , let

$$\langle P \rangle$$

be the semigroup, whose binary operation is denoted by \vee , generated freely by elements of P modulo the relations

$$(2.8) \quad p \vee q = q \vee p = q, \text{ for } p, q \in P \text{ with } p \leq_P q.$$

That is, each element of $\langle P \rangle$ can be uniquely written as $p_0 \vee \cdots \vee p_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and with p_i and p_{i+1} being incomparable with respect to \leq_P , for all $0 < i < n$. Note that if P is linear, then $\langle P \rangle = P$.

Observe that if M is a monoid and P is an M -partial order, then the action of M on P naturally induces an action of M on $\langle P \rangle$ by endomorphisms.

A moment of thought convinces one that the function from Theorem 2.4 extends to a homomorphism from $\langle \mathbb{Y}(M) \rangle$ to U —condition (ii) of Theorem 2.4 and the fact that the function in that theorem takes values in $E(U)$ are responsible for this. Therefore, we get the following corollary, which we state with the conventions of Section 2.4.

Corollary 2.7. *Assume M is almost R -trivial. There exists an M -equivariant homomorphism of semigroups $g: \langle \mathbb{Y}(M) \rangle \rightarrow U$ that maps maximal elements of $\mathbb{Y}(M)$ to $I(U)$.*

3. INFINITARY RAMSEY THEOREMS

The goal of this section is purely Ramsey theoretic. We introduce structures, we call Λ -partial semigroups, that generalize the partial semigroup setting of [2]. For such structures, we introduce the notion of basic sequence. Basic sequences appear in Ramsey statements whose aim it is to control coloring on them. We introduce a new general notion of controlling a coloring on a basic sequence. The main result then is Theorem 3.1, which gives such a control over a coloring on a basic sequence from the existence of an appropriate homomorphism. Thus, proving Ramsey statements is reduced to finding homomorphisms. Furthermore, we introduce a natural notion of tensor product for Λ -semigroups that allows us to propagate the existence of homomorphisms and, therefore, to propagate Ramsey statements.

3.1. Λ -partial semigroups and Λ -semigroups. Here we recall the notion of partial semigroup and, more importantly, we introduce our main Ramsey theoretic structures: Λ -partial semigroups, and Λ -semigroups and homomorphisms between them.

A **partial semigroup** is a set S with a function (operation) from a subset of $S \times S$ to S such that for all $r, s, t \in S$ if one of the two products $(rs)t, r(st)$ is defined, then so is the other and $(rs)t = r(st)$. (This condition is slightly stronger than what is assumed in [2] and [14]: if both products $(rs)t$ and $r(st)$ are defined, then they are equal. We motivate our choice as follows: on the one hand, no examples are

lost by assuming the stronger condition, on the other hand, in calculations, we are spared the task of keeping track of the distribution of parentheses. Note, however, that everything that follows can be done using only the weaker condition.) Note that a semigroup is a partial semigroup whose binary operation is total.

Now, let Λ be a set. Let S be a partial semigroup and let X be a set. By a **Λ -partial semigroup over S based on X** we understand an assignment to each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ of a partial function, which we also call λ , from a subset of X to S such that for all $s_0, \dots, s_k \in S$ there exists $x \in X$ such that, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\lambda(x)$ is defined and $s_0\lambda(x), \dots, s_k\lambda(x)$ are all defined. We call it a **Λ -semigroup over S based on X** if S is a semigroup and the domain each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ is equal to X , that is, λ is a total function. We call a Λ -partial semigroup **point based** if X consist of one point, which we usually denote by \bullet ; so $X = \{\bullet\}$ in this case.

We give now some constructions that will be used in Section 4. Let S be a partial semigroup. A function $h: S \rightarrow S$ is an **endomorphism** if for all $s_1, s_2 \in S$ with s_1s_2 defined, $h(s_1)h(s_2)$ is defined and $h(s_1s_2) = h(s_1)h(s_2)$. Let M be a monoid. An action of M on S is called is called an **action of M on S by endomorphisms** if, for each $a \in M$, the function $s \rightarrow a(s)$ is an endomorphism of S and, for all $s_1, \dots, s_n \in S$ and each $a \in M$, there is $t \in S$ such that $s_1a(t), \dots, s_na(t)$ is defined. Obviously, we will identify such an action with the function $\alpha: M \times S \rightarrow S$ given by $\alpha(a, s) = a(s)$. Let

$$(3.1) \quad S(\alpha)$$

be the M -partial semigroup over S based on S obtained by interpreting each $a \in M$ as the function from S to S given by the action, that is,

$$S \ni s \rightarrow \alpha(a, s) \in S.$$

Fix now $s_0 \in S$. Let

$$(3.2) \quad S(\alpha)_{s_0}$$

be the point based M -partial semigroup over S obtained by interpreting each $a \in M$ as the function on $\{\bullet\}$ given by

$$a(\bullet) = \alpha(a, s_0).$$

The Λ -partial semigroups used in this paper will be of the above form or will be obtained from such by the tensor product operation defined in Section 3.5.

3.2. Basic sequences and tame colorings. Assume we have a Λ -partial semigroup over S and based on X . A sequence (x_n) of elements of X is called **basic** if for all $n_0 < \dots < n_l$ and $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_l \in \Lambda$ the product

$$(3.3) \quad \lambda_0(x_{n_0})\lambda_1(x_{n_1})\lambda_2(x_{n_2}) \cdots \lambda_l(x_{n_l})$$

is defined in S .

Assume we have a point based Λ -semigroup \mathcal{A} over A . To make notation clearer, we use \vee for the binary operation on A . We say that a coloring of S is **\mathcal{A} -tame on**

(x_n) , where (x_n) is a basic sequence, if the color of the elements of the form (3.3) with the additional condition

$$(3.4) \quad \lambda_k(\bullet) \vee \cdots \vee \lambda_l(\bullet) \in \Lambda(\bullet), \text{ for each } k \leq l,$$

depends only on the element $\lambda_0(\bullet) \vee \cdots \vee \lambda_l(\bullet)$ of A .

3.3. Λ -semigroups from Λ -partial semigroups. There is a canonical way of associating a Λ -semigroup to each Λ -partial semigroup. Let γX be the set of all ultrafilters \mathcal{U} on X such that for each $s \in S$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$

$$\{x \in X : s\lambda(x) \text{ is defined}\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

It is clear that γX is compact and non-empty. Each λ extends to a function, again called λ , from γX to βS by the usual formula, for $\mathcal{U} \in \gamma X$,

$$A \in \lambda(\mathcal{U}) \text{ iff } \lambda^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{U}.$$

It is easy to see that the image of each λ is included in γS . Since γS is a semigroup, we get a Λ -semigroup.

3.4. The Ramsey theorem. The following notion will be crucial in stating Theorem 3.1. Assume we have Λ -semigroups, \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , with \mathcal{A} being over A and based on X and \mathcal{B} being over B and based on Y . A **homomorphism from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{B}** is a pair of functions f, g such that $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $g : A \rightarrow B$, g is a homomorphism of semigroups, and, for each $x \in X$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we have

$$\lambda(f(x)) = g(\lambda(x)).$$

The following theorem is the main result of Section 3.

Theorem 3.1. *Fix a finite set Λ . Let \mathcal{S} be a Λ -partial semigroup over S , and let \mathcal{A} be a point based Λ -semigroup. Let $(f, g) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \gamma \mathcal{S}$ be a homomorphism. Then for each $D \in f(\bullet)$ and each finite coloring of S , there exists a basic sequences (x_n) of elements of D on which the coloring is \mathcal{A} -tame.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{S} be based on a set X . Set $\mathcal{U} = f(\bullet)$. Observe that if $\lambda(\bullet) = \lambda'(\bullet)$, then $\lambda(\mathcal{U}) = \lambda'(\mathcal{U})$ since

$$\lambda(f(\bullet)) = g(\lambda(\bullet)) = g(\lambda'(\bullet)) = \lambda'(f(\bullet)).$$

This observation allows us to define for $\sigma \in \Lambda(\bullet)$,

$$\sigma(\mathcal{U}) = \lambda(\mathcal{U})$$

for some, or, equivalently, each, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda(\bullet) = \sigma$. Observe further that for $\sigma \in \Lambda(\bullet)$ we have

$$(3.5) \quad g(\sigma) = \sigma(\mathcal{U}).$$

Indeed, fix $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with $\sigma = \lambda(\bullet)$. Then we have

$$\sigma(\mathcal{U}) = \lambda(f(\bullet)) = g(\lambda(\bullet)) = g(\sigma).$$

For $P \subseteq X$ and $\sigma \in \Lambda(\bullet)$, set

$$\sigma(P) = \bigcap \{\lambda(P) : \lambda(\bullet) = \sigma\}.$$

Note that if $P \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $\lambda(P) \in \lambda(\mathcal{U})$ since $P \subseteq \lambda^{-1}(\lambda(P))$. So, for λ with $\lambda(\bullet) = \sigma$, we have $\lambda(P) \in \sigma(\mathcal{U})$, and, therefore, by finiteness of Λ , we get $\sigma(P) \in \sigma(\mathcal{U})$.

Consider a finite coloring of S . Let $P \in \mathcal{U}$ be such that the coloring is constant on $\sigma(P)$ for each $\sigma \in \Lambda(\bullet)$, using the obvious observation that $\sigma(P) \subseteq \sigma(P')$ if $P \subseteq P'$.

Now, we produce $x_n \in X$ and $P_n \subseteq X$ so that

- (i) $x_n \in D$, $P_n \subseteq P$;
- (ii) $\lambda_1(x_{m_1})\lambda_2(u) \in (\lambda_1(\bullet) \vee \lambda_2(\bullet))(P_{m_1})$, for all $m_1 < n$, all $u \in P_n$, and all $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda_1(\bullet) \vee \lambda_2(\bullet) \in \Lambda(\bullet)$;
- (iii) $\forall^{\mathcal{U}} u \lambda_1(x_m)\lambda_2(u) \in (\lambda_1(\bullet) \vee \lambda_2(\bullet))(P_m)$, for all $m \leq n$ and all $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda_1(\bullet) \vee \lambda_2(\bullet) \in \Lambda(\bullet)$;
- (iv) $\lambda(x_m) \in \lambda(\bullet)(P_m)$, for all $m \leq n$ and all $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Note that in points (ii) and (iii) above the condition $\lambda_1(\bullet) \vee \lambda_2(\bullet) \in \Lambda(\bullet)$ ensures that $(\lambda_1(\bullet) \vee \lambda_2(\bullet))(P_{m_1})$ and $(\lambda_1(\bullet) \vee \lambda_2(\bullet))(P_m)$ are defined.

Assume we have x_m, P_m for $m < n$ as above. We produce x_n and P_n so that points (i)–(iv) above hold. Define P_n by letting

$$P_n = P \cap \bigcap_{m < n} C_m,$$

where C_m consists of those $u \in X$ for which

$$\forall \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda \text{ (if } \lambda_1(\bullet) \vee \lambda_2(\bullet), \text{ then } \lambda_1(x_m)\lambda_2(u) \in (\lambda_1(\bullet) \vee \lambda_2(\bullet))(P_m)).$$

For $n = 0$, by convention, we set $\bigcap_{m < n} C_m = S$. Observe that (ii) holds for n . Our inductive assumption (iii) implies that $C_m \in \mathcal{U}$. Thus, the definition of P_n gives that $P_0 = P \in \mathcal{U}$ and, for $n > 0$, $P_n \in \mathcal{U}$.

Using (3.5) in the last equality, we have that, for all $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda_1(\bullet) \vee \lambda_2(\bullet) \in \Lambda(\bullet)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(\mathcal{U}) * \lambda_2(\mathcal{U}) &= \lambda_1(f(\bullet)) * \lambda_2(f(\bullet)) = g(\lambda_1(\bullet)) * g(\lambda_2(\bullet)) \\ (3.6) \quad &= g(\lambda_1(\bullet) \vee \lambda_2(\bullet)) = (\lambda_1(\bullet) \vee \lambda_2(\bullet))(\mathcal{U}). \end{aligned}$$

Separately, we note that $P_n \in \mathcal{U}$ and therefore, for $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda_1(\bullet) \vee \lambda_2(\bullet) \in \Lambda(\bullet)$,

$$(3.7) \quad (\lambda_1(\bullet) \vee \lambda_2(\bullet))(P_n) \in (\lambda_1(\bullet) \vee \lambda_2(\bullet))(\mathcal{U}) \text{ and } \lambda_1(\bullet)(P_n) \in \lambda_1(\bullet)(\mathcal{U}) = \lambda_1(\mathcal{U}).$$

It follows from (3.6) and (3.7) that we can pick x_n for which (iii) and (iv) hold. Since $D \in \mathcal{U}$, we can also arrange that $x_n \in D$. So (i) is also taken care of.

Now, it suffices to show that the sequence (x_n) constructed above is as needed. The entries of (x_n) come from D by (i). By induction on l , we show that for all $m_0 < m_1 < \dots < m_l$ and all $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l \in \Lambda$, we have

$$(3.8) \quad \lambda_0(x_{m_0})\lambda_1(x_{m_1})\lambda_2(x_{m_2}) \dots \lambda_l(x_{m_l}) \in (\lambda_0(\bullet) \vee \lambda_1(\bullet) \dots \vee \lambda_l(\bullet))(P_{m_0}),$$

provided that $\lambda_k(\bullet) \vee \dots \vee \lambda_l(\bullet) \in \Lambda(\bullet)$ for all $k \leq l$. This claim will establish the theorem since $P_{m_0} \subseteq P$ by (i).

The case $l = 0$ of (3.8) is (iv). We check the inductive step for (3.8) using point (ii). Let $l > 0$. Fix $m_0 < m_1 < \dots < m_l$ and $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l \in \Lambda$. By our inductive assumption, we have

$$(3.9) \quad \lambda_1(x_{m_1})\lambda_2(x_{m_2}) \cdots \lambda_l(x_{m_l}) \in (\lambda_1(\bullet) \vee \dots \vee \lambda_l(\bullet))(P_{m_1}).$$

Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$ be such that

$$(3.10) \quad \lambda(\bullet) = \lambda_1(\bullet) \vee \dots \vee \lambda_l(\bullet).$$

Since

$$(\lambda_1(\bullet) \vee \dots \vee \lambda_l(\bullet))(P_{m_1}) \subseteq \lambda(P_{m_1}),$$

by (3.9), there exists $y \in P_{m_1}$ such that

$$(3.11) \quad \lambda(y) = \lambda_1(x_{m_1})\lambda_2(x_{m_2}) \cdots \lambda_l(x_{m_l}).$$

Since $m_0 < m_1$ and since $y \in P_{m_1}$, from (ii) with $n = m_1$, we get

$$(3.12) \quad \lambda_0(x_{m_0})\lambda(y) \in (\lambda_0(\bullet) \vee \lambda(\bullet))(P_{m_0}).$$

Note that (ii) can be applied here as $\lambda_0(\bullet) \vee \lambda(\bullet) \in \Lambda(\bullet)$ as

$$\lambda_0(\bullet) \vee \lambda(\bullet) = \lambda_0(\bullet) \vee \lambda_1(\bullet) \vee \dots \vee \lambda_l(\bullet).$$

Now (3.8) follows from (3.12) together with (3.10) and (3.11). \square

In the proof above, at stage n , x_n is chosen arbitrarily from sets belonging to $f(\bullet)$. It follows that if $f(\bullet)$ is assumed to be non-principal, then the sequence (x_n) can be chosen to be injective.

3.5. Tensor product of Λ -partial semigroups. We introduce and apply a natural notion of tensor product for Λ -semigroups.

Let Λ_0, Λ_1 be sets. Let

$$\Lambda_0 \star \Lambda_1 = \Lambda_0 \cup \Lambda_1 \cup (\Lambda_0 \times \Lambda_1),$$

where the union is taken to be disjoint. Fix a good partial semigroup S . Let \mathcal{S}_i , $i \leq 1$, be Λ_i -partial semigroups over S . Let \mathcal{S}_i be based on X_i . Define

$$\mathcal{S}_0 \otimes \mathcal{S}_1$$

to be the $\Lambda_0 \star \Lambda_1$ -partial semigroup over S based on $X_0 \times X_1$ such that with $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda_0$, $\lambda_1 \in \Lambda_1$, and $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1) \in \Lambda_0 \times \Lambda_1$ we associate functions from $X_0 \times X_1$ to S by letting

$$\lambda_0(x_0, x_1) = \lambda_0(x_0), \lambda_1(x_0, x_1) = \lambda_1(x_1), (\lambda_0, \lambda_1)(x_0, x_1) = \lambda_0(x_0)\lambda_1(x_1),$$

where the product on the right hand side is computed in S and the left hand side is defined whenever the product is. It is easy to check that for each $s_0, \dots, s_m \in S$ and each $\vec{\lambda} \in \Lambda_0 \otimes \Lambda_1$ there exists $\vec{x} \in X_0 \times X_1$ such that $s_j \vec{\lambda}(\vec{x})$ is defined for each $j \leq m$, so $\mathcal{S}_0 \otimes \mathcal{S}_1$ is indeed a $\Lambda_0 \otimes \Lambda_1$ -partial semigroup.

It is clear that if each \mathcal{A}_i , $i < n$, is a Λ_i -semigroup, then $\otimes_{i < n} \mathcal{A}_i$ is a $\Lambda_{< n}$ -semigroup. Note that if each \mathcal{A}_i is point based, then so is the tensor product.

Proposition 3.2. *Let S be a partial semigroup. Let \mathcal{S}_i , $i = 0, 1$, be Λ_i -partial semigroups over S . Then there is a homomorphism*

$$\gamma\mathcal{S}_0 \otimes \gamma\mathcal{S}_1 \rightarrow \gamma(\mathcal{S}_0 \otimes \mathcal{S}_1).$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{S}_0 be based on X_0 and \mathcal{S}_1 on X_1 . Then $\gamma\mathcal{S}_0 \otimes \gamma\mathcal{S}_1$ is based on $\gamma X_0 \times \gamma X_1$, while $\gamma(\mathcal{S}_0 \otimes \mathcal{S}_1)$ on $\gamma(X_0 \times X_1)$. Consider the natural map $\gamma X_0 \times \gamma X_1 \rightarrow \gamma(X_0 \times X_1)$ given by

$$(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V},$$

where, for $C \subseteq X_0 \times X_1$,

$$C \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V} \iff \{x_0 \in X_0 : \{x_1 \in X_1 : (x_0, x_1) \in C\} \in \mathcal{V}\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Then

$$(f, \text{id}_{\gamma S}) : \gamma\mathcal{S}_0 \otimes \gamma\mathcal{S}_1 \rightarrow \gamma(\mathcal{S}_0 \otimes \mathcal{S}_1),$$

where $f(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V}$, is the desired homomorphism. \square

Proposition 3.3. *Fix semigroups A and B . For $i = 0, 1$, let \mathcal{A}_i and \mathcal{B}_i be Λ_i -semigroups over A and B , respectively. Let $(f_i, g) : \mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_i$ be homomorphisms. Then*

$$(f_0 \times f_1, g) : \mathcal{A}_0 \otimes \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_0 \otimes \mathcal{B}_1$$

is a homomorphism.

Proof. Let \mathcal{A}_i be based on a set X_i . For $\vec{x} \in \prod_{i < n} X_i$ and $\vec{\lambda} \in \prod_{i < n} \Lambda_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\vec{\lambda}(\vec{x})) &= g(\lambda_0(x_0) \cdots \lambda_{n-1}(x_{n-1})) = g(\lambda_0(x_0)) \cdots g(\lambda_{n-1}(x_{n-1})) \\ &= \lambda_0(f_0(x_0)) \cdots \lambda_{n-1}(f_{n-1}(x_{n-1})) = \vec{\lambda}(\left(\prod_{i < n} f_i\right)(\vec{x})), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality holds since g is a homomorphism of semigroups and the third equality holds since each (f_i, g) is a homomorphism from \mathcal{A}_i to \mathcal{B}_i . This check finishes the proof. \square

3.6. Propagation of homomorphisms. The first application has to do with relaxing condition (3.4). This is done in condition (3.13).

Let \mathcal{A} be a point based Λ -semigroup based on a semigroup A . As before, we denote by \vee the binary operation on A . Let F be a subset of A , let \mathcal{S} be a Λ -partial semigroup based on a partial semigroup S , and let (x_n) be a basic sequence in \mathcal{S} . A coloring of S is said to be **F - \mathcal{A} -tame on (x_n)** if the color of elements of the form (3.3) with the additional condition

$$(3.13) \quad \lambda_k(\bullet) \vee \cdots \vee \lambda_l(\bullet) \in F, \text{ for each } k \leq l,$$

depends only on the element $\lambda_0(\bullet) \vee \cdots \vee \lambda_l(\bullet)$ of A .

The following corollary is a strengthening of Theorem 3.1, but it follows from that theorem via the tensor product construction.

Corollary 3.4. *Fix a finite set Λ and a finite subset F of a semigroup A . Let \mathcal{S} be a Λ -partial semigroup, let \mathcal{A} be a point based Λ -semigroup over A , and let $(f, g): \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \gamma\mathcal{S}$ be a homomorphism. Then for each $D \in f(\bullet)$ and each finite coloring of S , there exists a basic sequences (x_n) of elements of D on which the coloring is F - \mathcal{A} -tame.*

Proof. Fix a natural number $r > 0$. By

$$\Lambda_{<r} \text{ and } \Lambda_{<\infty}$$

we denote the set of all sequences $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_m)$ of elements of Λ with $m < r$, and with an arbitrary M , respectively. We associate with each such $\vec{\lambda}$ an element $\vec{\lambda}(\bullet)$ of A by letting

$$\vec{\lambda}(\bullet) = \lambda_0(\bullet) \vee \dots \vee \lambda_m(\bullet).$$

Since F is finite, there exists r such that

$$F \cap \{\vec{\lambda}(\bullet): \vec{\lambda} \in \Lambda_{<\infty}\} \subseteq \{\vec{\lambda}(\bullet): \vec{\lambda} \in \Lambda_{<r}\}.$$

Thus, it suffices to show the corollary for $F = \{\vec{\lambda}(\bullet): \vec{\lambda} \in \Lambda_{<r}\}$.

We consider the two $\Lambda_{<r}$ -semigroups $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes r}$ to $(\gamma\mathcal{S})^{\otimes r}$. We note that, by Proposition 3.3, there exists a homomorphism from $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes r}$ to $(\gamma\mathcal{S})^{\otimes r}$, which is equal to (f^r, g) . Note also that $D \times X^{r-1} \in f^r(\bullet)$. Since, by Proposition 3.2, there is a homomorphism from $(\gamma\mathcal{S})^{\otimes r}$ to $\gamma(\mathcal{S}^{\otimes r})$, we are done by Theorem 3.1. \square

We have one more corollary of Theorem 3.1 and the tensor product construction. It concerns double sequences. Let \mathcal{S} be a Λ -partial semigroup over S based on X . A double sequence (x_n, y_n) of elements of X will be called **basic** if the single sequence

$$x_0, y_0, x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots$$

is basic. Having a basic sequence (x_n, y_n) , we will be interested in controlling the color on elements of the form

$$\lambda_0(x_{m_0})\lambda'_0(y_{n_0})\lambda_1(x_{m_1})\lambda'_1(y_{n_1})\lambda_2(x_{m_2})\lambda'_2(y_{n_2}) \cdots \lambda_l(x_{m_l})\lambda'_l(y_{n_l})$$

(3.14) and

$$\lambda_0(x_{m_0})\lambda'_0(y_{n_0})\lambda_1(x_{m_1})\lambda'_1(y_{n_1})\lambda_2(x_{m_2})\lambda'_2(y_{n_2}) \cdots \lambda_l(x_{m_l})$$

for $m_0 \leq n_0 < m_1 \leq n_1 < \dots < m_l \leq n_l$ and $\lambda_0, \lambda'_0, \dots, \lambda_l, \lambda'_l \in \Lambda$. Let \mathcal{A} be a point based Λ -semigroup. For $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda$, we say that λ and λ' are **conjugate** if $\lambda(\bullet) = \lambda'(\bullet)$. We say that a coloring of S is **conjugate \mathcal{A} -tame on (x_n, y_n)** if the color of the elements of the form (3.14) such that for each $k \leq l$

$$\lambda_k \text{ and } \lambda'_k \text{ are conjugate and } \lambda_k(\bullet) \vee \dots \vee \lambda_l(\bullet) \in \Lambda(\bullet)$$

depends only on

$$\lambda_0(\bullet) \vee \dots \vee \lambda_l(\bullet) \in A.$$

Corollary 3.5. *Fix a finite set Λ . Let \mathcal{S} be a Λ -partial semigroup based on a set X . Let \mathcal{A} be a pointed semigroup. Let $(f, g): \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \gamma\mathcal{S}$ be a homomorphism. Let $v \in \gamma X$ be such that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$*

$$(3.15) \quad \lambda(f(\bullet))\lambda(v) = \lambda(f(\bullet)).$$

For $D \in f(\bullet)$ and $E \in v$ and each finite coloring of S , there exists a basic sequence (x_n, y_n) , with $x_n \in D$ and $y_n \in E$, on which the coloring is conjugate \mathcal{A} -tame.

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be based on \bullet . Let

$$\Lambda' = \Lambda \cup \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \Lambda \times \Lambda : \lambda_1(\bullet) = \lambda_2(\bullet)\}.$$

Obviously $\Lambda' \subseteq \Lambda \times \Lambda$. Fix a point \bullet' . Let \mathcal{A}' be a point based Λ' -semigroup that is based on the point $(*, *)'$ and the semigroup A and is such that for $\lambda, (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \Lambda'$

$$\lambda(\bullet, \bullet') = \lambda(\bullet) \text{ and } (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)(\bullet, \bullet') = \lambda_1(\bullet).$$

Let $f': \{\bullet'\} \rightarrow \gamma X$ be given by $f'(\bullet') = v$. Since there is a homomorphism

$$(\rho, \pi): \gamma \mathcal{S} \otimes \gamma \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \gamma(S \otimes S)$$

and $(D, E) \in \rho \circ (f \times f')(\bullet, \bullet')$, it suffices to show that

$$(f \times f', g): \mathcal{A}' \rightarrow \gamma \mathcal{S} \otimes \gamma \mathcal{S}$$

is a homomorphism. This amounts to showing that if $\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$ and $\lambda_1(\bullet) = \lambda_2(\bullet)$, then

(3.16)

$$\lambda((f \times f')(\bullet, \bullet')) = g(\lambda(\bullet, \bullet')) \text{ and } (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)((f \times f')(\bullet, \bullet')) = g((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)(\bullet, \bullet')).$$

We check only the second equality, the first one being easier. We note first that

$$\lambda_1(f(\bullet)) = g(\lambda_1(\bullet)) = g(\lambda_2(\bullet)) = \lambda_2(f(\bullet)).$$

Using this equality and (3.15), we check the second equality in (3.16) by a direct computation as follows

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)((f \times f')(\bullet, \bullet')) &= (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)(f(\bullet), f'(\bullet')) = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)(f(\bullet), v) \\ &= \lambda_1(f(\bullet))\lambda_2(v) = \lambda_2(f(\bullet))\lambda_2(v) \\ &= \lambda_2(f(\bullet)) = \lambda_1(f(\bullet)) = g(\lambda_1(\bullet)) \\ &= g((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)(\bullet, \bullet')), \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

4. MONOID ACTIONS AND INFINITARY RAMSEY THEOREMS

In this section, M will be a finite monoid.

We connect Sections 2 and 3. This connection is made possible by Corollary 4.1, which translates Theorem 2.4 into a statement about the existence of a homomorphism. Ramsey theoretic consequences of this result are investigated later in the section. We introduce the notion of Ramsey monoid and we give a characterization of those among almost R-trivial monoids. We use this characterization to determine which among the monoids I_n from Section 2.3 are Ramsey. This result implies an answer to Lupini's question [8] on possible extensions of Gowers' theorem. We derive some concrete Ramsey results from our general considerations. For example, we obtain the Furstenberg–Katznelson Ramsey theorem for located words.

4.1. Connecting Theorem 2.4 with Theorem 3.1. We show how Theorem 2.4, through Corollary 2.7, gives rise to homomorphisms needed for applications of Theorem 3.1. In essence, we prove in Corollary 4.1 that the conclusion of Corollary 2.7 implies the existence of a homomorphism from a point based M -semigroup defined from the monoid M only to an M -semigroup defined from an action of M by endomorphisms on a partial semigroup.

Let S be a partial semigroup. For $A \subseteq S$, we say that S is **A -directed** if for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in S$ there exists $x \in A$ such that x_1x, \dots, x_nx are all defined. So S is directed as defined in [14] if it is S -directed. We say that $I \subseteq S$ is a **two-sided ideal in S** if it is non-empty and, for $x, y \in S$ for which xy is defined, $xy \in I$ if $x \in I$ or $y \in I$.

Recall the definitions of M -partial semigroups from (3.1) and (3.2) in Section 3.1. Recall also that M acts by endomorphisms on the semigroup $\langle \mathbb{Y}(M) \rangle$ generated by $\mathbb{Y}(M)$ as in Section 2.5. Denoting this action by β and taking $y_0 \in \mathbb{Y}(M)$, we form the point based M -semigroup $\langle \mathbb{Y}(M) \rangle(\beta)_{y_0}$. For the sake of simplicity, we denote it by

$$\langle \mathbb{Y}(M) \rangle_{y_0}.$$

The following corollary will be seen to be a consequence of Corollary 2.7.

Corollary 4.1. *Assume M is almost R -trivial. Let $y_0 \in \mathbb{Y}(M)$ be a maximal element, and let α be an action of M on a partial semigroup S by endomorphisms. Let $I \subseteq S$ be a two-sided ideal such that S is I -directed. Then there exists a homomorphism $(f, g): \langle \mathbb{Y}(M) \rangle_{y_0} \rightarrow \gamma(S(\alpha))$ with $I \in f(\bullet)$.*

We will need a lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let S be a partial semigroup, and let I be a two-sided ideal in S such that S is I -directed. Then $\{\mathcal{U} \in \gamma S: I \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is a compact two-sided ideal in γS .*

Proof. For $x \in S$, let $S/x = \{y \in S: xy \text{ is defined}\}$.

Let $H = \{\mathcal{U} \in \gamma S: I \in \mathcal{U}\}$. Then, by definition, H is clopen. It is non-empty since, by I -directedness of S , the family $\{I\} \cup \{S/x: x \in S\}$ of subsets of S has the finite intersection property, so it is contained in an ultrafilter, which is necessarily an element of H .

We check that $I \in \mathcal{U} * \mathcal{V}$ if $I \in \mathcal{U}$ or $I \in \mathcal{V}$. Assume first that $I \in \mathcal{U}$. For $x \in I$, $S/x \subseteq \{y: xy \in I\}$, therefore, since $S/x \in \mathcal{V}$, for each $x \in I$, we have $\{y: xy \in I\} \in \mathcal{V}$. So $I \subseteq \{x: \{y: xy \in I\} \in \mathcal{V}\}$. Since $I \in \mathcal{U}$, we get

$$\{x: \{y: xy \in I\} \in \mathcal{V}\} \in \mathcal{U}$$

which means $I \in \mathcal{U} * \mathcal{V}$. Assume now $I \in \mathcal{V}$. For each $x \in S$, we have $I \cap (S/x) \subseteq \{y: xy \in I\}$. Therefore, since $I, S/x \in \mathcal{V}$, we have $\{y: xy \in I\} \in \mathcal{V}$ for each $x \in S$. So

$$\{x: \{y: xy \in I\} \in \mathcal{V}\} = S \in \mathcal{U},$$

which means $I \in \mathcal{U} * \mathcal{V}$. □

Proof of Corollary 4.1. We denote by γI the compact two sided ideal $\{\mathcal{U} \in \gamma S: I \in \mathcal{U}\}$ from Lemma 4.2.

Observe that the action α naturally induces an action of M by continuous endomorphisms on γS . We call this resulting action $\gamma\alpha$. By Corollary 2.7, there exists a homomorphism $g: \langle \mathbb{Y}(M) \rangle \rightarrow \gamma S$ such that all maximal elements of $\mathbb{Y}(M)$ are mapped to $I(S)$. In particular, $g(y_0) \in I(\gamma S)$. Since, by Lemma 4.2, γI is a compact two-sided ideal, we have $I(\gamma S) \subseteq \gamma I$. Thus, $g(y_0) \in \gamma I$, that is,

$$I \in g(y_0).$$

Note now that if we let $f(\bullet) = g(y_0)$, then $(f, g): \langle \mathbb{Y} \rangle_{y_0} \rightarrow (\gamma S)(\gamma\alpha)$ is a homomorphism. A quick check of definitions gives $(\gamma S)(\gamma\alpha) = \gamma(S(\alpha))$. Thus, (f, g) is as required. \square

4.2. Ramsey theorems from monoids. Given a sequence (X_n) of sets, let

$$\langle (X_n) \rangle$$

consist of all finite sequences $x_{n_1} \cdots x_{n_l}$ for $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $n_1 < \cdots < n_l$, and $x_{n_i} \in X_{n_i}$. It will be assumed that each $x \in X_n$ determines n . In effect, we treat X_n as $\{n\} \times X_n$. We will write X_n instead of $\{n\} \times X_n$ for the sake of simplicity. If $x_1 \cdots x_k$ and $y_1 \cdots y_l$ are elements of $\langle (X_n) \rangle$ such that $x_i \in X_{m_i}$, for $m_1 < \cdots < m_k$, and $y_i \in X_{n_i}$, for $n_1 < \cdots < n_l$, and $m_k < n_1$, then we write

$$x_1 \cdots x_k \prec y_1 \cdots y_l.$$

A **pointed M -set** is a set X equipped with an action of M and a distinguished point x such that $Mx = X$. Let (X_n) be a sequence of pointed M -sets. The monoid M acts on $\langle (X_n) \rangle$ in the coordinatwise manner. We say that (X_n) has the **Ramsey property** if for each finite coloring of $\langle (X_n) \rangle$ there exist $w_i \in \langle (X_n) \rangle$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

- $w_i \prec w_{i+1}$, for each i ;
- each w_i contains the distinguished element of X_n as an entry;
- all words of the form

$$a_0(w_{i_0}) \cdots a_l(w_{i_l}),$$

where $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_i \in M$ with at least one $a_i = 1_M$, are assigned the same color.

A monoid M is called **Ramsey** if each sequence of pointed M -sets has the Ramsey property.

We have the following general result.

Theorem 4.3. *Assume M is almost R -trivial. Let F be a finite subset of $\langle \mathbb{Y}(M) \rangle$, let $y_0 \in \mathbb{Y}(M)$ be a maximal element of $\mathbb{Y}(M)$, and let X_n , for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be pointed M -sets. For each finite coloring of $\langle (X_n) \rangle$, there exist $w_0 \prec w_1 \prec w_2 \prec \cdots$ in $\langle (X_n) \rangle$ such that*

- (i) *for each i , w_i contains the distinguished point of some X_n and*
- (ii) *for each $n_0 < \cdots < n_k$ and $a_0, \dots, a_k \in M$, the color of*

$$a_0(w_{n_0}) \cdots a_k(w_{n_k})$$

depends only on $a_0(y_0) \vee \cdots \vee a_k(y_0)$ provided $a_0(y_0) \vee \cdots \vee a_k(y_0) \in F$.

Proof. We regard $\langle\langle X_n \rangle\rangle$ as a partial semigroup with concatenation as a partial semigroup operation and with the natural action α of M . This leads to the M -partial semigroup $S(\alpha)$. Let I be the subset of $\langle\langle X_n \rangle\rangle$ consisting of all words that contain a distinguished element of some X_n . It is clear that I is a two-sided ideal and that $\langle\langle X_n \rangle\rangle$ is I -directed. By Corollary 4.1, there exists a homomorphism $(f, g): \langle\mathbb{Y}(M)\rangle_{y_0} \rightarrow \gamma S(\alpha)$ with $I \in f(\bullet)$.

It is easy to find a finite set $F' \subseteq \langle\mathbb{Y}(M)\rangle$ such that if $z_0, \dots, z_l \in \mathbb{Y}(M)$ and $z_0 \vee \dots \vee z_l \in F$, then $z_k \vee \dots \vee z_l \in F'$ for each $0 \leq k \leq l$. Now, from the existence of the homomorphism (f, g) , by Corollary 3.4, we get the existence of $w_0 \prec w_1 \prec w_2 \prec \dots$ in I such that, for $n_0 < \dots < n_l$ and $a_0, \dots, a_l \in M$, the color of $a_0(w_{n_0}) \dots a_l(w_{n_l})$ depends only on $a_0(\bullet) \vee \dots \vee a_l(\bullet)$ as long as $a_k(\bullet) \vee \dots \vee a_l(\bullet) \in F'$, for each $0 \leq k \leq l$. Since this last condition is implied by $a_0(\bullet) \vee \dots \vee a_l(\bullet) \in F$ and since for each $a \in M$, $a(\bullet) = a(y_0)$, we are done. \square

We deduce from the theorem above the following result characterizing Ramsey monoids.

Theorem 4.4. (i) *If M is almost R -trivial and the partial order $\mathbb{X}(M)$ is linear, then M is Ramsey.*

(ii) *If $\mathbb{X}(M)$ is not linear, then the sequence of pointed M -sets $X_n = \mathbb{X}(M)$, with the canonical action of M and with the R -class of 1_M as the distinguished point, does not have the Ramsey property.*

Thus, if M is almost R -trivial, then M is Ramsey if and only if the partial order $\mathbb{X}(M)$ is linear.

Proof. (i) Fix a sequence of pointed M -sets (X_n) . We need to show that it has the Ramsey property. One checks easily that linearity of $\mathbb{X}(M)$ implies that there exists an order preserving M -equivariant embedding of $\mathbb{X}(M)$ to $\mathbb{Y}(M)$ mapping the top element of $\mathbb{X}(M)$ to a maximal element of $\mathbb{Y}(M)$ —map the R -class of a to the set of all predecessors of the class of a in $\mathbb{X}(M)$. We identify $\mathbb{X}(M)$ with its image in $\mathbb{Y}(M)$. Note that, by linearity of $\mathbb{X}(M)$, $\mathbb{X}(M) = \langle\mathbb{X}(M)\rangle$, so $\mathbb{X}(M)$ is a subsemigroup of $\langle\mathbb{Y}(M)\rangle$. Let y_0 be the top element of $\mathbb{X}(M)$, which is the R -class of $[1_M]$. Since $[a] \vee [1_M] = [1_M] \vee [a] = [1_M]$, for the R -class $[a]$ of each $a \in M$, it follows immediately from Theorem 4.3 that (X_n) has the Ramsey property. Since (X_n) was arbitrary, we get the conclusion of (i).

(ii) Let X_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be the pointed M -sets described in the statement of (ii). Let $a, b \in M$ be two elements whose R -classes $[a]$ and $[b]$ are incomparable in $\mathbb{X}(M)$. Then $a \notin bM$ and $b \notin aM$, which implies that

$$(4.1) \quad [a] \notin b\mathbb{X}(M) \quad \text{and} \quad [b] \notin a\mathbb{X}(M).$$

We color $w \in \langle\langle X_n \rangle\rangle$ with color 0 if $[a]$ occurs in w and its first occurrence precedes all the occurrences of $[b]$, if there are any. Otherwise, we color w with color 1. Let $w_i \in \langle\langle X_n \rangle\rangle$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, be such that $w_i \prec w_{i+1}$ and with the R -class $[1_M]$ of 1_M occurring in each w_i . Then, in $a(w_0)w_1$, $[a]$ occurs in $a(w_0)$ and, by (4.1), $[b]$ does not occur in $a(w_0)$. It follows that $a(w_0)w_1$ is assigned color 0. For similar reasons, $b(w_0)w_1$ is assigned color 1. Thus, the Ramsey property fails for (X_n) . \square

4.3. Some concrete applications. 1. Furstenberg–Katznelson’s theorem for located words. We state here the Furstenberg–Katznelson theorem for located words. The original version from [3] is stated in terms of words and is weaker. We refer the reader to [3] for the original version.

We have two finite disjoint sets A, B and $x \notin A \cup B$. If $w \in \langle A \cup B \cup \{x\} \rangle$, v occurs in w , and $c \in A \cup B \cup \{x\}$, then

$$w[c]$$

is an element of $\langle A \cup B \cup \{x\} \rangle$ obtained from w by replacing each occurrence of x by c . If $c_0, \dots, c_k \in A \cup B$, let

$$\overline{c_0 \cdots c_k}$$

be the string obtained from $c_0 \cdots c_k$ by removing all elements of B and then replacing each run of each $a \in A$ by a single occurrence of a .

Let F be a finite set of strings in A . Color $\langle A \cup B \rangle$ with finitely many colors. There exist $w_0 \prec w_1 \prec w_2 \prec \dots$ in $\langle B \cup \{x\} \rangle$ such that x occurs in each w_i and, for each $n_0 < \dots < n_k$ and $c_0, \dots, c_k \in A \cup B$, the color of

$$w_{n_0}[c_0] \cdots w_{n_k}[c_k]$$

depends only on $\overline{c_0 \cdots c_k}$ provided $\overline{c_0 \cdots c_k} \in F$.

This theorem is obtained by considering the monoid $J(A, B)$ from Section 2.3. For brevity’s sake, set

$$J(A, B) = M.$$

Forgetting about the Ramsey statement, we will now make some computations in $\mathbb{Y}(M)$.

Observe that all elements of B are in the same R-class, which we denote by \mathbf{b} , the R-class of each element of A consists only of this element only, and the R-class of 1 consists only of 1. So we can write

$$\mathbb{X}(M) = \{\mathbf{b}, 1\} \cup A.$$

We have that, for each $a \in A$,

$$\mathbf{b} \leq_{\mathbb{X}(M)} a \leq_{\mathbb{X}(M)} 1$$

and elements of A are incomparable with each other with respect to $\leq_{\mathbb{X}(M)}$. The action of M on $\mathbb{X}(M)$ is induced by the action of M on itself by left multiplication.

Pick $a_0 \in A$. Note that the sets

$$\{\mathbf{b}\}, \{\mathbf{b}, a\}, \text{ for } a \in A, \text{ and } \{\mathbf{b}, a_0, 1\}$$

are in $\mathbb{Y}(M)$, and we write $\mathbf{b}, a, 1_0$ for these elements, respectively. We notice that

$$(4.2) \quad \mathbf{b} \leq_{\mathbb{Y}(M)} a, \text{ for all } a \in A, \text{ and } \mathbf{b}, a_0 \leq_{\mathbb{Y}(M)} 1_0,$$

and $\leq_{\mathbb{Y}(M)}$ does not relate any other two of the above elements. Furthermore, 1_0 is a maximal element of $\mathbb{Y}(M)$. The action of M on these elements is induced by the left multiplication action of M on itself, so

$$a(1_0) = a \text{ and } b(1_0) = \mathbf{b}, \text{ for } a \in A, b \in B.$$

Using relations (4.2), we observe that, for $c_0, \dots, c_k \in \{\mathbf{b}\} \cup A$, the product

$$c_0 \vee \dots \vee c_k$$

in the semigroup of $\langle \mathbb{Y}(M) \rangle$ is equal to \mathbf{b} if $c_i = \mathbf{b}$, for each $i \leq k$, or is obtained from $c_0 \vee \dots \vee c_k$ by removing all occurrences of \mathbf{b} and shortening a run of each $a \in A$ to one occurrence of a , if $c_i \in A$, for some $i \leq k$. Thus, the map assigning to a string $c_0 \dots c_k$ of elements of $A \cup B$ the element $c_0 \vee \dots \vee c_k$ of $\mathbb{Y}(M)$ factors through the map $c_0 \dots c_k \rightarrow \overline{c_0 \dots c_k}$ giving an injective map $\overline{c_0 \dots c_k} \rightarrow c_0 \vee \dots \vee c_k$.

Now we apply Theorem 4.3 to $X_n = M$ with the action of M being left multiplication and the distinguished element being 1_M . We take $y_0 = 1_0$ and, for the finite subset $\langle \mathbb{Y}(M) \rangle$, we take

$$\{c_0 \vee \dots \vee c_k : c_0 \dots c_k \in F\}.$$

Now, an application of Theorem 4.3 gives a sequence $w'_0 \prec w'_1 \prec \dots$ in $\langle (X_n) \rangle$. Let $w_i \in \langle B \cup \{x\} \rangle$ be gotten from w'_i by replacing each value taken in $A \cup \{1_M\}$ by x . It is clear that the sequence $w_0 \prec w_1 \prec \dots$ is as required.

2. Gowers' theorem. The monoid G_k is defined in Section 2.3. Gowers' Ramsey theorem from [4] is obtained by applying Theorem 4.3 to $X_n = G_k$ with the left multiplication action and with the distinguished element 1_{G_k} . We note that $\mathbb{X}(G_k)$ is linear, and we apply Theorem 4.3 as in the proof of Theorem 4.4(i).

3. The Hales–Jewett theorem for left-variable words. The Hales–Jewett theorem for located words is just the Furstenberg–Katznelson theorem for located words with $A = \emptyset$. To obtain the Hales–Jewett theorem for located left-variable words, see [14, Theorem 2.37], we use the monoid $J(\emptyset, B)$ and apply the last sentence of Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 3.5.

4. Lupini's theorem. Lupini's Ramsey theorem from [8] is an infinitary version of a Ramsey theorem found by Bartošova and Kwiatkowska in [1]. To prove it we consider the monoid I_k defined in Section 2.3. We take for $X_n = \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ with the natural action of I_k and the distinguished element $k-1$. The result is obtained by applying Lemma 2.5 and Theorem 3.1.

4.4. The monoids I_n . We consider here the monoid I_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > 0$, defined in Section 2.3. This is the monoid of all functions $f: n \rightarrow n$ such that $f(0) = 0$ and $f(i-1) \leq f(i) \leq f(i-1) + 1$, for all $0 < i < n$, taken with composition. We will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.5. *The monoids I_n , for $n \geq 4$, are not Ramsey. The monoids I_1 , I_2 , and I_3 are Ramsey.*

We now state a theorem and question of Lupini [8] in our terminology. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let w_k be a finite word in the alphabet $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ that contains an occurrence $n-1$. Let $I_n(w_k)$ be equal to the set $\{f(w_k) : f \in I_n\}$, where $f(w_k)$ is the word obtained from w_k by applying f letter by letter. We take $I_n(w_k)$ with the natural action of I_n and with w_k as the distinguished element. Note that if w_k is the word of length one whose unique letter is $n-1$, then $I_n(w_k) = n$ with the natural action of I_n on n .

Theorem (Lupini [8]). *Let $n > 0$, and let $w_k = (n - 1)$. Then the sequence of pointed I_n -sets $(I_n(w_k))_k$ has the Ramsey property.*

Lupini asked the following natural question: does $(I_n(w_k))_k$ have the Ramsey property for every choice of words w_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$, as above?

The following corollary to Theorem 4.5 answers this question in the negative.

Corollary 4.6. *Let $n \geq 4$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $w_k = (01 \cdots (n - 1))$. Then the sequence of pointed I_n -sets $(I_n(w_k))_k$ does not have the Ramsey property.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.5, I_n is not Ramsey for $n \geq 4$. It follows, by Theorem 4.4 and by R-triviality of I_n , that the sequence $X_k = I_n$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, does not have the Ramsey property, where I_n is considered as a pointed I_n -set with the left multiplication action and with 1 as the distinguished element. Note that I_n is isomorphic as a pointed I_n -set with $I_n(01 \cdots (n - 1))$ as witnessed by the function

$$I_n \ni f \rightarrow f(01 \cdots (n - 1)) \in I_n(01 \cdots (n - 1)).$$

Thus, since $w_k = 01 \cdots (n - 1)$, the sequence $(I_n(w_k))_k$ does not have the Ramsey property. \square

We will give a recursive presentation of the monoid I_n that may be of some independent interest and usefulness for future applications. It will certainly make it easier for us to manipulate symbolically elements of I_n below. In the recursion, we will start with a trivial monoid and adjoin a tetris operation as in [4] at each step of the recursion.

Let M be a monoid, let $f: M \rightarrow M$ be an endomorphism, and let $t \in M$ be such that for all $s \in M$ we have

$$(4.3) \quad st = tf(s).$$

Define

$$\mu(M, t, f)$$

be the triple

$$(N, \tau, \phi),$$

where N is a monoid, τ is an element of N , and ϕ is an endomorphism of N that are obtained by the following procedure. Let N be the disjoint union of M and the set $\{\tau s: s \in M\}$, where τ is a new element and the expression τs stands for the ordered pair (τ, s) . For $s \in M$, we write $\tau^0 s$ for s and $\tau^1 s$ for τs . Define a function $\phi: N \rightarrow M \subseteq N$ by letting, for $s \in M$ and $e = 0, 1$,

$$\phi(\tau^e s) = t^e f(s),$$

where $t^e f(s)$ is a product computed in M . Define multiplication on N by letting, for $s_1, s_2 \in M$, and $e_1, e_2 = 0, 1$,

$$(\tau^{e_1} s_1) \cdot (\tau^{e_2} s_2) = \begin{cases} \tau^{e_1} (s_1 s_2), & \text{if } e_2 = 0; \\ \tau(\phi(\tau^{e_1} s_1) s_2), & \text{if } e_2 = 1. \end{cases}$$

where, on the right hand side, $s_1 s_2$ and $\phi(\tau^{e_1} s_1) s_2$ are products computed in M . We write τ for $\tau 1_M$. Note that $\tau \cdot s = \tau s$ for $s \in M$ and $\tau \cdot \tau = \tau t$. We will omit writing \cdot for multiplication in N .

The following lemma is proved by a straightforward computation.

Lemma 4.7. *N is a monoid, ϕ is an endomorphism of N , and, for all $\sigma \in N$, we have relation (4.3), that is,*

$$\sigma \tau = \tau \phi(\sigma).$$

Later, we will need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 4.8. *For $\sigma \in N$ and $s \in M$, there exists $s' \in M$ such that $\tau s \sigma = \tau s s'$.*

Proof. If $\sigma \in M$, then we can let $s' = \sigma$. Otherwise, $\sigma = \tau s_0$ for some $s_0 \in M$. Note that

$$\tau s \sigma = \tau s \tau s_0 = \tau \tau f(s) s_0 = \tau t f(s) s_0 = \tau s t s_0,$$

and we can let $s' = t s_0$. □

By recursion, we define a sequence of monoids with distinguished elements and endomorphisms. Let M_1 be the unique one element monoid, let t_1 be its unique element, and let f_1 be its unique endomorphism. Assume we are given a monoid M_k for some $k \geq 1$ with an endomorphism f_k of M_k and an element t_k with (4.3). Define

$$(M_{k+1}, t_{k+1}, f_{k+1}) = \mu(M_k, t_k, f_k).$$

Proposition 4.9. *For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k > 0$, M_k is isomorphic to I_k .*

Proof. One views I_{k-1} as a submonoid of I_k , for $k > 1$, identifying I_{k-1} with its image under the isomorphic embedding $I_{k-1} \ni s \rightarrow s' \in I_k$, where

$$s'(i) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i = 0; \\ s(i-1) + 1, & \text{if } 0 < i < k. \end{cases}$$

One checks that $t_k \in I_k$ given by

$$t_k(i) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i = 0; \\ i - 1, & \text{if } 0 < i < k. \end{cases}$$

and $f_k: I_k \rightarrow I_k$ given by

$$f_k(s)(i) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i = 0; \\ s(i-1) + 1, & \text{if } 0 < i < k. \end{cases}$$

fulfill the recursive definition of (M_k, t_k, f_k) . □

Since, as proved in Section 2.3, I_n is R-trivial, the partial order $\mathbb{X}(I_n)$ can be identified with I_n . We will make this identification and write \leq_{I_n} for $\leq_{\mathbb{X}(I_n)}$. We have the following recursive formula for \leq_{I_n} . Obviously, \leq_{I_1} is the unique partial order on the one element monoid.

Proposition 4.10. *Let $t_{n+1}^{e_1}s_1, t_{n+1}^{e_2}s_2 \in I_{n+1}$ with $s_1, s_2 \in I_n$ and $e_1, e_2 = 0, 1$. Then $t_{n+1}^{e_1}s_1 \leq_{I_{n+1}} t_{n+1}^{e_2}s_2$ if and only if*

$$e_2 \leq e_1 \text{ and } s_1 \leq_{I_n} f_n^{e_1 - e_2}(s_2).$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.9, we regard $(I_{n+1}, t_{n+1}, f_{n+1})$ as obtained from the triple (I_n, t_n, f_n) via operation μ . In particular, we regard I_n as a submonoid of I_{n+1} . We also have $f_{n+1}(s) = f_n(s)$, for $s \in I_n$.

(\Leftarrow) If $e_0 = e_1$, the implication is obvious. The remaining case is $e_2 = 0$ and $e_1 = 1$. In this case, we have $s_1 \leq_{I_n} f_n(s_2)$, that is, $s_1 = f_n(s_2)s'$ for some $s' \in I_n$. But then

$$t_{n+1}s_1 = t_{n+1}f_n(s_2)s' = s_2(t_{n+1}s'),$$

and $t_{n+1}s_1 \leq_{I_{n+1}} s_2$ as required.

(\Rightarrow) Note that it is impossible to have $s_1 \leq_{I_{n+1}} t_{n+1}s_2$ for $s_1, s_2 \in I_n$. Indeed, this inequality would give $s_1 = t_{n+1}s_2\sigma$ for some $\sigma \in I_{n+1}$, which would imply, by Lemma 4.8, that $s_1 = t_{n+1}s_2s'$ for some $s' \in I_n$. This is a contradiction since $s_2s' \in I_n$. Thus, $t_{n+1}^{e_1}s_1 \leq_{I_{n+1}} t_{n+1}^{e_2}s_2$ implies $e_2 \leq e_1$.

If $e_1 = e_2 = 0$, then we have $s_1 \leq_{I_{n+1}} s_2$, which means $s_1 = s_2\sigma$ for some $\sigma \in I_{n+1}$. If $\sigma \in I_n$, then $s_1 \leq_{I_n} s_2$, as required. Otherwise, $\sigma = \tau s'$ for some $s' \in I_n$, which gives

$$s_1 = s_2\tau s' = \tau(f_n(s_2)s'),$$

which is impossible since $f_n(s_2)s' \in I_n$.

If $e_1 = e_2 = 1$, then we have $t_{n+1}s_1 \leq_{I_{n+1}} t_{n+1}s_2$, which means $t_{n+1}s_1 = t_{n+1}s_2\sigma$ for some $\sigma \in I_{n+1}$. By Lemma 4.8, this equality implies $t_{n+1}s_1 = t_{n+1}(s_2s')$ for some $s' \in I_n$. Since $s_2s' \in I_n$, this equality gives $s_1 = s_2s'$, so $s_1 \leq_{I_n} s_2$.

The last case to consider is $e_1 = 1$ and $e_2 = 0$, that is, $t_{n+1}s_1 \leq_{I_{n+1}} s_2$. Then $t_{n+1}s_1 = s_2\sigma$ for some $\sigma \in I_{n+1}$. Note that $\sigma \notin I_n$, so $\sigma = t_{n+1}s'$ for some $s' \in I_n$. But then we have

$$t_{n+1}s_1 = s_2t_{n+1}s' = t_{n+1}f_n(s_2)s',$$

which implies $s_1 = f_n(s_2)s'$, that is, $s_1 \leq_{I_n} f_n(s_2)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.5. It is easy to see from Proposition 4.10 that the orders \leq_{I_1} , \leq_{I_2} , \leq_{I_3} are linear. So, by Theorem 4.4, I_1 , I_2 , and I_3 are Ramsey.

By Theorem 4.4, it remains to check that the partial order (I_n, \leq_{I_n}) is not linear for $n \geq 4$. By Proposition 4.9, we regard $(I_{n+1}, t_{n+1}, f_{n+1})$ as obtained from the triple (I_n, t_n, f_n) via operation μ . It follows from Proposition 4.10 that $\leq_{I_{n+1}}$ restricted to I_n is equal to \leq_{I_n} . Thus, it suffices to show that (I_4, \leq_{I_4}) is not linear. Note that the image of f_3 is equal I_2 and I_2 has two elements. So there exists $s_0 \in I_3$ such that $f_3(s_0) \neq 1_{I_2}$. Thus, since $1_{I_2} = 1_{I_3}$, we get $f_3(s_0) <_{I_3} 1_{I_3}$. It then follows from Proposition 4.10 that t_4 and s_0 are not comparable with respect to \leq_{I_4} . Indeed,

$$t_4 = t_4^1 1_{I_3} \text{ and } s_0 = t_4^0 s_0.$$

Since $0 < 1$, we have $s_0 \not\leq_{I_4} t_4$; since $1_{I_3} \not\leq_{I_3} f_3^{1-0}(s_0)$, we have $t_4 \not\leq_{I_4} s_0$. \square

The monoid I_4 is the first one among the monoids I_n , $n > 0$, that is not Ramsey. Using Propositions 4.9 and 4.10, one can compute \leq_{I_4} as follows. Since I_3 is linearly ordered, one can list the four elements of I_3 as $a_3 \leq_{I_3} a_2 \leq_{I_3} a_1 \leq_{I_3} 1$. Then I_4 is equal to the disjoint union $I_3 \cup t_4 I_3$ and the order \leq_4 is the transitive closure of the relations

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 &\leq_{I_4} a_2 \leq_{I_4} a_1 \leq_{I_4} 1; \\ t_4 a_3 &\leq_{I_4} t_4 a_2 \leq_{I_4} t_4 a_1 \leq_{I_4} t_4; \\ t_4 &\leq_{I_4} a_1; \\ t_4 a_1 &\leq_{I_4} a_3. \end{aligned}$$

One can check by inspection that $M_1 = I_4 \setminus \{t_4\}$ and $M_2 = I_4 \setminus \{a_2, a_3\}$ are submonoids of I_4 . They are R-trivial as submonoids of an R-trivial monoid [12]. One easily checks directly that \leq_{M_1} and \leq_{M_2} are linear, therefore, M_1 and M_2 are Ramsey by Theorem 4.4. Thus, I_4 is not itself Ramsey, but it is the union of two Ramsey monoids.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Bartořova, A. Kwiatkowska, *Gowers' Ramsey Theorem with multiple operations and dynamics of the homeomorphism group of the Lelek fan*, preprint 2015.
- [2] V. Bergelson, A. Blass, N. Hindman, *Partition theorems for spaces of variable words*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 68 (1994), 449–476.
- [3] H. Furstenberg, Y. Katznelson, *Idempotents in compact semigroups and Ramsey theory*, Israel J. Math. 68 (1989), 257–270.
- [4] W.T. Gowers, *Lipschitz functions on classical spaces*, European J. Combin. 13 (1992), 141–151.
- [5] N. Hindman, D. Strauss, *Algebra in the Stone-Ćeach Compactification*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 27, Walter de Gruyter, 1998.
- [6] J. Hubička, J. Neřetřil, *All those Ramsey classes (Ramsey classes with closures and forbidden homomorphisms)*, preprint 2016.
- [7] D. Kurepa, *Ensembles ordonnés et ramifiés*, Publ. Math. Univ. Belgrade 4 (1935), 1–138.
- [8] M. Lupini, *Gowers' Ramsey theorem for generalized tetris operations*, preprint, 2016.
- [9] M. Lupini, *Actions of trees on semigroups, and an infinitary Gowers–Hales–Jewett Ramsey theorem*, preprint, 2016.
- [10] M. Schöcker, *Radical of weakly ordered semigroup algebras*, J. Algebraic Combin. 28 (2008), 231–234.
- [11] S. Solecki, *Abstract approach to finite Ramsey theory and a self-dual Ramsey theorem*, Adv. Math. 248 (2013), 1156–1198.
- [12] B. Steinberg, *The Representation Theory of Finite Monoids*, book preprint, 2015.
- [13] S. Todorćevic, *Partition relations for partially ordered sets*, Acta Math. 155 (1985), 1–25.
- [14] S. Todorćevic, *Introduction to Ramsey Spaces*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, 174, Princeton University Press, 2010.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, 1409 W. GREEN ST., URBANA, IL 61801, USA

E-mail address: ssolecki@math.uiuc.edu